2.3

(Case called)

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}.$ BOVE: Good afternoon, your Honor, Emil Bove for the government.

MS. GALLICCHIO: Good afternoon, your Honor, Federal Defenders by Amy Gallicchio for Mr. Aguirre Cuero, who is present.

THE COURT: Good afternoon, Ms. Gallicchio, and good afternoon, Mr. Cuero.

Mr. Cuero, I've been told that you wish to enter a guilty plea to the lesser-included offense of manufacturing and distributing and possessing with intent to manufacture and distribute while aboard a vessel, subject to the jurisdiction of the United States, 500 grams and more of cocaine. Is that correct, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Before I accept the guilty plea from you I must satisfy myself that you understand the rights you would have if the case went to trial and the rights that you are giving up by pleading guilty. Also, I must be satisfied there is a factual basis for your plea of guilty and you understand the consequences of pleading guilty.

In a moment I am going to have the clerk place you under oath. I am going to ask you certain questions, inform you of certain rights. If I ask you something or I tell you something and you don't quite understand, please let me know

THE DEFENDANT: Because I have a cholesterol problem and a fracture that I have in one hand.

THE COURT: And do you take any medications for that?

THE DEFENDANT: No medications yet.

22

2.3

24

25

rights that you would have if this case went to trial and

rights you would be giving up by pleading guilty. Under the

24

25

Constitution and laws of the United States, you are entitled to a speedy and public trial by an impartial jury on the charges contained in the indictment. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

2.3

THE COURT: At such a trial you would not have to prove that you were innocent. The government would be required to prove each element of each crime by proof beyond a reasonable doubt. Before you could be found guilty, a jury of 12 people would have to agree unanimously that you were guilty. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: If there were a trial, at every stage of the proceeding you would be entitled to be represented by a lawyer. And if you could not afford a lawyer, one would be appointed at public expense. Do you understand all that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: If there were a trial, the witnesses for the government would have to come to court to testify. You would be able to see and hear them. Your lawyer could question them through cross-examination. Your lawyer could object to evidence offered by the government. Your lawyer could present evidence and could ask the Court to compel witnesses to appear at trial on your behalf. Do you understand all that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: If there were a trial, you would have the

right to testify if you chose to do so. You could come up here and take the witness stand. Also, you would have the right not to testify and no one would be permitted to draw any inference or suggestion of guilt from the fact that you decided not to testify. Do you understand all that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

2.3

THE COURT: If there were a trial and a jury found you guilty, you would have the right to appeal that finding. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Now, those are the rights that you would have if you went to trial. If I accept the plea of guilty from you, there will be no trial. You will proceed to the sentencing phase in which the Court will decide on the punishment to be imposed on you.

Now, you'll have the right to an attorney during the sentencing phase. But by pleading guilty you give up your right to appeal any issue in the case in pretrial proceedings. Do you understand everything I have said to you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Even now you have the right to change your mind. Instead of pleading guilty you may plead not guilty and go to trial. Do you wish to plead not guilty and go to trial?

THE DEFENDANT: How was that?

THE COURT: Would you like to plead not quilty and go

to trial? You can change your mind. You told me at the beginning that you wanted to plead guilty. I'm asking you, would you like to change your mind and plead not guilty and go to trial?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

2.3

THE COURT: Do you understand that you are charged in Count Two of the indictment with manufacturing and distributing and possessing with intent to distribute, manufacture and distribute while aboard a vessel, subject to the jurisdiction of the United States, five kilograms and more of cocaine. Do you understand that's what the indictment charges?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: But the government has stated that it is willing to accept the plea to a lesser-included offense of manufacturing and distributing and possessing with intent to manufacture and distribute while aboard a vessel subject to the United States jurisdiction 500 grams and more of cocaine. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: The penalty for the lesser-included offense to which you have offered to plead guilty is a maximum sentence of 40 years' imprisonment and a mandatory minimum sentence of five years' imprisonment, a maximum fine of the greatest of \$5 million or twice the gross pecuniary gain derived from the offense, a maximum term of supervised release

of life, and a mandatory minimum term of supervised release of four years, and a mandatory \$100 special assessment.

Do you understand all that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

THE COURT: Now, with regard to supervised release there are terms and conditions attached to it. And if you do not live up to those terms and conditions, you can be returned to prison for the full period of supervised release. Say you receive a prison term to be followed by a term of five years' supervised release and you live up to the terms of supervised release for three years, but then you violate one of the terms. You can be returned to prison for a full period of five years. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Are you a U.S. citizen?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: Among the consequences of pleading guilty is you will likely be deported from the United States and barred from reentry and, further, your conviction would impact negatively upon your ability to ever become a citizen of the United States. Do you understand all that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Have you discussed this with your lawyer?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Is the government seeking forfeiture in

1 | this case?

2.3

2 MR. BOVE: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Are you serving any other sentence, state or federal, or being prosecuted in any other court for any other crime?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: In sentencing you I will receive a presentence report prepared by the office of probation that gives me background information and a recommended range of sentence under the sentencing guidelines. After hearing from your lawyer and from the government, I will make my own determination of the correct guideline range that applies in this case. Even after determining the correct guideline range, I need not follow it and can sentence you all the way up to the statutory maximum. The guidelines are advisory and they are not binding on me, not binding on the Court. They are one of the factors that the Court takes account of under the sentencing statute, commonly referred to as Section 3553. Do you understand all that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Now, I understand there has been a plea agreement entered into between you and the government, is that correct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And that's reflected in a six-page

document bearing the date August 9, 2016 and on the letterhead of the U.S. Department of Justice, and I will ask the clerk to place that in front of you. Is that your plea agreement with the government?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

THE COURT: Is that your signature on the last page?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Did you read the plea agreement or did you have the plea agreement translated for you before you signed it?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

MS. GALLICCHIO: Your Honor, I can add to that. The plea agreement was actually translated in a document in Spanish and provided to my client and read to him.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Did you discuss the plea agreement with your lawyer?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Did you understand the plea agreement before you signed it?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Did anyone threaten you or force you in any way to either enter into the plea agreement or to plead guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: Did anyone promise you anything of value

or give you anything either to get you to plead guilty or to enter into the plea agreement?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

2.3

THE COURT: Does your plea agreement contain all of your understandings with the government?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: I want you to know, Mr. Cuero, that any prediction, calculation, or estimate that anyone has made to you as to what sentence I might give you, even an estimate by your own lawyer, is not binding on me, not binding on the Court, and if it turns out to be wrong you will not be permitted to withdraw your guilty plea. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: One of the features of your plea agreement with the government is that you and the government have agreed upon a stipulated guideline range in this case which is 151 to 188 months in prison. That's the stipulated guideline range and you both agree that no matter what, the Court must sentence you to a minimum of at least 60 months' imprisonment. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: That agreement with regard to the stipulated guideline range is binding on you and binding on the government, but it's not binding on the Court. I have my own

obligation to determine the correct guideline range, as I told you before. But one of the features of your plea agreement is that if I should sentence you within the stipulated guideline range of 151 to 188 months' imprisonment, or above that range, the government has agreed not to appeal. But you have agreed that if I sentence you to a range of 151 to 188 months' imprisonment or below that range, that you will not appeal or attack the sentence. You have waived your right to appeal or attack a sentence unless that sentence is above the stipulated guideline range of 151 to 188 months' imprisonment. And in that event the law will only allow you to appeal on the basis that the sentence is unreasonable or contrary to law. Do you understand all that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Mr. Bove, what are the elements of the crime and what in summary would be the government's evidence if this case went to trial?

MR. BOVE: Thank you, your Honor. With respect to the lesser-included offense set forth in the plea agreement, the government would be required to establish three principal elements: First, that the defendant knowingly and intentionally manufactured or distributed, possessed with intent to manufacture or distribute a controlled substance; second, that he did so on board a vessel subject to the jurisdiction of the United States, and that term includes a

flagless vessel without nationality; and, third, that the offense involved 500 grams or more of cocaine.

2.3

The government would also be required to establish venue. In this case we would do so by virtue of the fact that the defendant was first brought to this district upon his extradition.

With respect to the way that the government established those facts at trial, we would present testimony from law enforcement witnesses as well as intercepts collected pursuant to Title III in the United States establishing that in or about February of 2015, the defendant was arrested on board a vessel in international waters off the coast of Colombia. That vessel was found to contain approximately 350 kilograms of cocaine. The vessel was later determined to be flagless; that is, without nationality.

THE COURT: Mr. Cuero, please tell me in your own words what you did that leads you to believe that you are guilty of the crime charged in Count Two, or the lesser-included offense within that crime.

THE DEFENDANT: On February 19, 2015, I was on a boat that contained 500 grams or more of cocaine. The boat was not registered in any country and had no flying flag or document for registration.

The boat was in international waters off the coast of Colombia. My intention was to give the cocaine to another

1 | individual. I'm very sorry.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

THE COURT: Does the defense have any valid basis to challenge venue in this case?

MS. GALLICCHIO: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Does the government agree there is a sufficient factual predicate for a plea to a lesser-included offense?

MR. BOVE: I do, Judge.

THE COURT: I also note there are forfeiture allegations in paragraphs 5 and 6 of the complaint. Is your client prepared to admit those allegations?

MS. GALLICCHIO: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Cuero, do you have any questions for me?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: With regard to the lesser-included offense of manufacturing and distributing and possessing with intent to manufacture and distribute while aboard a vessel subject to the jurisdiction of the United States 500 grams and more of cocaine, how do you plead, guilty or not guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.

THE COURT: With regard to the forfeiture allegations in paragraphs 5 and 6 of the indictment, do you admit those allegations or do you deny those allegations?

THE DEFENDANT: I admit.

2.3

THE COURT: Based upon your responses to my questions and my observations of your demeanor, I find that you know your rights, you know the consequences of pleading guilty, and there is a factual basis for your plea of guilty. Your plea of guilty and your admission to the forfeiture allegations are accepted. Further, I find that your plea agreement was knowingly and voluntarily entered into, including the provision waiving the right to appeal or collaterally attack the sentence.

I will order a presentence investigation and direct that no interview of you take place unless your lawyer is present. It's important that you be truthful and honest with the people who prepare the report, tell them the good things and even the not-so-good things. The report will be important in my decision on sentencing. Before the day of sentencing you will have the opportunity to review that report. I urge you to go through it carefully. If there are any mistakes, point them out to your lawyer so she can point them out to me.

Sentencing in this case is set for January 20, at 11:30 a.m., and the defendant is remanded until then.

Is there anything further from the government?

MR. BOVE: No, your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: From the defendant.

MS. GALLICCHIO: Your Honor, may I have just one moment, please.